TS 77402

DCI

Ltr

18

July

195

Approved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP79S01057A000500020014-1

| ited by DCI | Noted by DB/I | 30 Jul. 53 | Noted by DB/I | 30 Jul. 53 | 30 Jul. 5

JUL 181953

NSC REVIEW COMPLETED

25X1

Mr. William F. Finan
Assistant Director for Management
and Organization
Bureau of the Budget
Washington 25, D. C.

Door Mr. Finen:

I om glad to submit the views of this Agency on the proposed Executive Order and on the draft of the Presidential secureation to the Executive Secretary of the National Security Council forwarded with your letter of 9 July 1953.

with regard to the proposed inscutive Order, this Agency has no objection to the substance of its provisions which create the Operations Coordinating Board and set furth its general functions subject to the comments in the last paragraph of this letter. The proposed names of functioning, however, raises questions which we believe should be resolved prior to issuance of the Order. Section 5 of the draft provides that the Central Intelligence Agency shall, esses others, furnish assistance to the Board consonent with law in eccordance with 31 U.S.C. 691. This, of course, we are prepared to do subject to the evallability of funds.

However, Section 4 authorises the Executive Director of the Board to appoint necessary amplayees to the Board and supervise the work of the staff. This appears to authorise the establishment of an entity outside the contemplation of 31 U.S.C. 691. Furthermore, under Section 1 of the proposed Order, the Board, and with it the staff, is deemed to be an agency within the structure of the National Security Council is a statutory agency established by the National Security Aut of 1947. Section 101(c) of that Act provides for a staff for the National Security Council and authorizes the Executive Secretary thereof to hire the necessary

DOCUMENT NO.

NO CHANGE IN CLASS.

D DECLASSIFIED

CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS SC 9990

NEXT REVIEW DATE:

AUTHORIZOR

DATE: TO SECRET

25×1

The Mational Security Council is given an esmual appropriation for this staff. To our knowledge, no provision has been made in this appropriation for the Fiscal Year 1994 for a staff for the Operations Coordinating Board within the structure of the Mational Security Council. If it is contemplated that such a staff be supported by contributions from other agencies, including the Central Intelligence Agency, under Section 5 of the proposed Executive Order, we suggest that consideration be given to the question of this Agency's authority to give such support in the light of the provisions of 31 6.5.6. 691.

In connection with the proposed Presidential memoratum to the Executive Secretary of the Estimal Secretary Council, certain substantive provisions are of consern to this Agency. In Chapter 7 of the report of the President's Countities on International Information Activities, on page 93 it is stated that "it would be appropriate to rescind paragraphs 2 and 3 of EC 10/5." This Agency strongly supports this view but feels that recision is not accomplished in the memoranium as presently drafted. Instead paragraphs 2 and 3 of EC 10/5 remain in effect with the functions transferred to the Operations Coordinating Board. In order to accomplish the purpose intended by the report, the Agency recommends that the proposed memorandum be assembed by climinating paragraph "a" on page 1, by relationing paragraphs "b" and "c" to be "a" and "b" and by adding a new subparagraph under "Other" on page 2, stating:

"Perregraphs 2 and 3 of 3.5 10/5, approved 23 Ortober 1991, are reached."

There is no objection to the shalition of the Consultants Group and the transfer of their functions to the Operations Coordinating Board, provided that this does not limit the normal limican between the Control Intelligence Agency, Department of State, and Department of Defence on questions of foreign and military policy, but retisar provides a point of reference for such questions as are not resolved in this limison. In order to reflect this thought specifically this Agency recommends that present paragraph b on page 1 of the Hammandum (paragraph a under the exeminant proposed above) which assigns additional functions to the new Board be exempled to read as follows:

"The policy coordinating functions provided for in personal 3.4.(1) of N.C 10/2 approved Jane 10, 1940."

while supporting the transfer of responsibility	
to the Department of Defense, we be	Love the soupe
of the transfer and the understanding on timing could t	
by the edoption of the following wording in place of the	
initial perspect on page 2 of the proposed manufacture	

25X1

25X1

25X1

Responsibility for the support of, and training fur, the above operations shall be transferred from the Central Intelligence Agency to the Department of Defense as soon as appropriate carrengements can be made. Other classicatine operations prosently confusted by CIA in conjunction with such operations shall remain the responsibility of the Director of Central Intelligence.

In considering the effect of the proposed Executive Order and proposed Presidential Memorandum, it is the view of this Agency that NGC 10/2 and NGC 10/5, as revised by the Memorandum, should be re-examined. A proposed revision of these directives, taking account of the above suggestions and the proposed functions of the new Board, will be submitted shortly by the Control Intelligence Agency.

de have one general exement which relates to the broad functions essigned to the Operations Coordinating Board under Section 3(a) of the Executive Order. As we interpret this section the new Board would have the duty under subsections (1), (2), (3), and (4) of this section of assuming broad responsibilities with respect to each and every national security policy approved by the President. This would apparently include policies assigned exclusively to one department or egency and also policies having largely demestic as wall as interpreted implications. We faci that such assignment of duties may prove to be too broad and general and that this section should be limited to such national security policies as might be designated at the time of approval by the President as falling within the proper scope of activities of the new Board.

Very tarely yours,

Allen W. Dillos Director

OGC/IRH:blr Distribution:

orig. & 1 - addressee

2 - ER

1 - DD/A

1 - DD/I

1 - DD/P

2 - OGC

COMPRESENTIAL

-- 3.